## 2013/14 – 2014/15 Alternative Budget Proposal

**Service: Leisure Facilities** 

**Proposal Number:** 

#### **Description of Proposal**

Outsource running of leisure facilities (Council to retain asset ownership)

## **Proposed Saving**

Proposed Saving in 2013/14 £'000s	Proposed Saving in 2013/14 FTE Staff	Proposed Saving in 2014/15 £'000s	Proposed Saving in 2014/15 FTE Staff

	2013/14 £'000s	2014/15 £'000s
People		
Property	-	-
Third Party	-	-
Infrastructure/Kit	-	-

#### **Grays Beach**

#### Base Budget 2012/13

	£'000s
Expenditure	
Employees	83
Other Direct Running Costs (Premises, Transport and Supplies)	60
Third Party Payments	
Transfer Payments	
Capital Financing Costs	4
Support Services Costs	36
Gross Expenditure	183
Income	
Sales, Fees and Charges	(43)
Grant and External Contributions	
Support Services Income	
Gross Income	(43)
Net Expenditure	139

# Grangewaters

# Base Budget 2012/13

	£'000s
Expenditure	
Employees	244
Other Direct Running Costs (Premises, Transport and Supplies)	123
Third Party Payments	
Transfer Payments	
Capital Financing Costs	
Support Services Costs	
Gross Expenditure	
Income	
Sales, Fees and Charges	(367)
Grant and External Contributions	
Support Services Income	
Gross Income	(367)
Net Expenditure	0

## **Thameside**

# Base Budget 2012/13

	£'000s
Expenditure	
Employees	629
Other Direct Running Costs (Premises, Transport and Supplies)	278
Third Party Payments	
Transfer Payments	
Capital Financing Costs	
Support Services Costs	720
Gross Expenditure	1,627
Income	
Sales, Fees and Charges	(347)
Grant and External Contributions	
Support Services Income	
Gross Income	(347)
Net Expenditure	1,280

# Impact of Proposal

The total payments made to Impulse leisure for the previous five years are as follows:

2008/09 £600k 2009/10 £664k 2010/11 £664K 2011/12 £621k 2012/13 £514k

From a general overview, the transfer of the Council's leisure run facilities would involve an element of TUPE transfer of existing staff to the operator. This means that sufficient budget in order to cover these costs would need to be a consideration of an external bid. In addition, there are a number of central support costs associated with the facilities. These would not necessarily reduce as a result of outsourcing and would need to be re-distributed amongst other services within the Council.

The main saving to be achieved would be through the non-staffing running costs, and premises. However, as ownership of the buildings would be retained by the Council, the liability for any major repair works would need to be considered prior to negotiations.

#### **Grangewaters**

Grangewaters currently has a budget position set in order to achieve a breakeven position. The fees and charges have been set in accordance with the operating costs, and are reviewed on an annual basis. From a budget perspective, outsourcing of the facilities would not achieve a saving per se, but additional grants or other sources of income could be accessed which are currently not available to a local authority. This could have the advantage of significant capital investment with additional revenue generated as a result of improved facilities.

The operating position in 2012/13 is currently within budget at Period 9, and it is not forecast that there will be an operating deficit at the end of the year.

#### **Grays Beach**

The base line budget for Grays Beach includes core funding of £139k. Therefore, the current business model would not achieve a full cost recovery for the service (as is the case with all parks facilities), although the cafeteria operation within the park does broadly break even in terms of running costs. Several attempts, overseen by the Council's Procurement team, have been made in recent years to operate the cafeteria

as a fully externally-managed facility, but there has not been sufficient interest to make this viable. From January to March the cafeteria is run at weekends by the Lightship Cafeteria Community Hub as a community facility.

There are some permanent employees within Grays Beach and a budget for Casual worker, during the higher season demand periods.

The business model for Grays Beach highlights that the service is not fully driven on a financial basis, as the subsidy within the budget supports the benefit to the public.

In the event of outsourcing negotiation, it is unlikely that the service would achieve complete cost recovery, and therefore any budget savings would be minimal.

#### **Thameside**

The Thameside complex is home to a variety of services:

- Grays Library
- Thameside Theatre
- Thurrock Museum
- The registry office
- Various Voluntary Organisations

There is also an Archive Service for historical records within the cost centre which is delivered by Essex County Council in Chelmsford against a service Level agreement.

Considerable storage is also provided in the building for the Thurrock collection of local historical artefacts

Facilities management and maintenance are provided by Serco and recharges are made against the individual services on a proportionate basis to cover these costs. Additionally central recharges' are made to cover other support costs. The total budget has been broken down in to fixed costs (those that would still need to be met if the building closed) and variable costs (those that could be offered as savings).

The fixed costs are in the majority of cases part of the Serco contract, therefore if this could be re-negotiated they could be added to the potential savings achievable by closure.